Proceedings of 2022 Asian Conference on Crisisonomy (20 ~ 23 July, 2022; Jeju National University; Asian Association for Crisisonomy)

What philosophy does the leader have in crisis management?

- Focusing on the Korean President's Speech

Seol A Kwon¹⁺, Jee Eun Kim^{2#}, Jae Eun Lee^{2#}

¹Hanbat National University, 125 Dongseo-daero, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon, Korea

ABSTRACT

Modern society is a hazardous society with characteristics of 'uncertainty'. A leader's role is essential to maintaining order and safety in a hazardous society. When facing danger, message delivery from the leader has a positive effect on actions and motivation to protect citizens and help to minimize the damage. In this research, recognizing the importance of a leader's role in disaster safety, we studied the message delivered by the Presidents. In performing the research, disaster safety-related speech networks were analyzed in the speeches of for Presidents from the 16th to the 19th. First, the risk management of Korea was reflected in the times through the President's speeches. Second, it contains the President's philosophy and value. Finally, when looking at the discourse analysis of the President's speech in common in disaster safety, it can be seen that the majority of common languages put the safety of the citizens first priority so that more citizens are enabled to take safe actions and attitudes.

Key words: Language-network analysis, President, Speech, Risk management, Disaster management, Safety

1. Introduction

A disaster is defined as an event that disrupts the normal survival condition and exceeds the viability of the community. Generally, there are three conditions to enlarge an event into a disaster. An event makes the normal condition into chaos, exceeds the resilience of the regional community and exhausts people physically and mentally. In particular, a disaster contains people. Otherwise, it is simply regarded as a physical phenomenon.

A successful leader in modern society reduces uncertainty and improves the resilience to overcome risk. Breaking away from the moral authority of the past, we believe that message of present leaders should contain trust (Morden, et. al., 2013; Brooks, et. al., 2019).

It is important that the leader's role induces order from chaos in disaster control. Logically, leader in crisis situation could be various ranks such as event commander or disaster manager in public official or President of the country where the disaster occurred. In general, under normal circumstances, leader's decision is made through a deliberation process with the intervention and advice of experts in relevant fields. It is served by frameworks of the law

the providing formal support and confirmation (Mahmud, et. al., 2020).

In this study, we will focus on the speeches of four Korean Presidents from 16th to 19th generation on philosophy and value of crisis management leadership through language network analysis of the President's language characteristics related to crisis management.

2. Theoretical Background

2.1. Leader's role focus on disaster safety.

A number of risk elements induces and amplify physical and physiological anxiety about the survival of individuals (Lupton, 2013; Mitzen, 2006; Esposito, 2008; Foucault, 1991). Boin and Hart (2003) suggest that modern leader's role is dealt with public safety as a primary factor and it advocates for predicting future hazards while at the same time demonstrating decisive action for the physical and mental protection of disaster victim.

Moreover, in particular, it demonstrated that needs for disaster prevention and preparedness were considered important in modern society with increasing uncertainty (Renn, et. al., 2011; Di Floristella, 2015; Shufutinsky, et. al., 2020; Hashikawa & Gold, 2018). Anxiety that something out of the ordinary

²Department of Public Administration, Chungbuk National University, 1 Chungdae-ro, Seowon-Gu, Cheongju, Chungbuk, Korea

will happen is constantly growing, and when a disaster occurs, (Boin & Lodge, 2016; Wright & Horta, 2018; Sørensen, 2018), it becomes difficult to find a single cause or completely eliminate the risk (Gephart, et. al., 2009, Arfan, et. al., 2019).

In every crisis, a leader must recognize danger of people, send the message for mitigation of risk through social environment and philosophical cogitation. However, damage may be magnified owing to wrong perception of risk in the leader and miscommunication with people (Renn, 2004; Beck, 2009; R. Kasperson & Kasperson, 1996; Mishra & Kanti, 2019). Therefore, modern leader with higher decision-making authority must have the understanding of risk recognition, information analysis and construction of message for protection of citizens (Leach, et. al., 2010; Barnes, 2002; Beck, 2015; Dibai, et. al., 2020).

2.2. Leaders influence and language

Previously reported studies have shown that leader's speech affects a variety of things, such as raising trust in leaders (Pravichai & Ariyab-uddhiphongs, 2018), changing attitudes (Banks, 2014; Rowley Mayfield, et. al., 1998), and mediating conflicts (Leader Maynard & Benesch, 2016), and members' commitment and effort (Mayfield & Mayfield, 2012; Mayfield & Mayfield, 2002).

Therefore, leader's speech about disaster safety induces improving safety awarencess of citizen (Soltani, et. al., 2016; Rosenstiel, 2019), minimalizing damage from disaster (Farazmand, 2017) and enhancing the resilience (Crosweller & Tschakert, 2021).

3. Sample and Measure

To evaluate faith and philosophy about disaster management of leader, we analyzed language characteristic using analyzing speeches of Presidents. The speeches of 16th and 18th Presidents were obtained from Presidential archives (https://www.pa.go.kr/ searching date: 22. May. 06),

but the speech of 19th President was referred Korea policy briefing website https://www.korea.kr/searching date: 22. May. 20) data migration to Presidential archive.

In this study, text was mined by R program and language network analysis was performed by NodeXL and R. First, special characters were removed in collected data using R program as open source software. After cutting the text into word unit, each word was divided into words and encodings and data were refined in the order of extracting only words (noun) from among them.

We analyzed frequency of words and summarized proportion of word occurrences though a table. Also, to analyze the connectivity between each word, bigram was extracted using R, the number and centrality of the connecting lines were evaluated and language network chart was also created by NodeXL. The R program was used for the CONCOR analysis carried out to from a cluster by identification the similarity of keyword.

4. Analysis & Findings

4.1. Keyword analysis in the disaster safety related speech

We analyzed disaster safety related text from four Presidents. As shown in speeches from 16th President, 118 speeches were related to disaster safety in a total of 770 speeches and total words were 169,992. In case of 17th President, of a total of 819 speeches, 229 speeches were focus on disaster and total words were countered as a 385,841. Park Geun-hye(18th President) gave 164 disaster safety related speeches in total speeches of 493. The 19th President who recently finished his tenure, made 606 of 1207 speeches related to disaster safety and total words was countered 376,299. Compared to other presidents, 19th president gave the most speeches about the disaster.

< Table 1 > Language network analysis of 16th to 19th presidents

	Category	Frequency	Category	Frequency	
16th Presiden	Total words	169,992	Mediation centrality	17883.66	
1011110010011	Total connecting line	88,311	Proximity centrality	0.0000	
(Roh Moo-hyun)	Average number of con-	2.500	Figure system controlity	0.0006	
	necting lines for each word	3.508	Eigen-vector centrality	0.0006	
	Category	Frequency	Category	Frequency	
17th President	Total words	203,810	Mediation centrality	17683.901	
1, 111 1 105100111	Total connecting line	109,667	Proximity centrality	0.0000	
(Lee Myung Bak)	Average number of con-	2 479	F:1:	0.0006	
	necting lines for each word	3.478	Eigen-vector centrality	0.0006	

	Category	Frequency	Category	Frequency		
10.1 D :1	Total words	385,841	Mediation centrality	13685.590		
18th President (Park-Geun-Hye)	Total connecting line	69,059	69,059 Proximity centrality			
	Average number of con- necting lines for each word	3.6986	Eigen-vector centrality	0.007		
	Category	Frequency	Category	Frequency		
10.1 P . 11 .	Total words	376,299	Mediation centrality	27395.240		
19th President	Total connecting line	227,639	Proximity centrality	0.289		
(Moon Jae-in)	Average number of con- necting lines for each word	3.509	Eigen-vector centrality	0.002		

To monitor the words that appear at the same time, we performed to analyze the language network and confirm the number of connected lines, Mediation centrality Proximity centrality and Eigen-vector centrality (Table 1).

As shown in the results of the 16th President, connected lines were counted at 88,311 and the average number of connected lines in each ward are 3,508. In centrality results, the average of mediation centrality was 17883.66, proximity centrality was 0.0000 and 0.0006 is the average of Eigen-vector centrality.

In the 17th President, the number of connected lines existed was 109,667 and the average of connected lines measured 3,478. Moreover, mediation centrality is 17683.901, proximity centrality is 0.0000. In final, Eigen-vector centrality was calculated as a 0.0006.

In analysis table in the 18th President, the number of connected lines were 67,059 and average connected lines was measured at 3.6986. As shown in centrality analysis, Mediation was 13685.590, Proximity was 0.0000 and Eigen-vector was 0.007.

Looking at the results of the language network of the 19th President, the number of connected lines is 227,639 and the average number of the connected line for each word were 3.509. In centrality analysis results, mediation centrality was calculated as 27395.240, proximity centrality was 0.289. Finally, Eigen-vector appeared as 0.002.

The following table # is extracted as the top 50 words with a high-ranked Eigen-vector centrality among words that appeared in the speeches related to disaster and safety of the 16th to 19th Presidents.

< Table 2> Top 50 words in Eigen-vector based president's speeches

-	16 th P.	resident (Roh 1	Moo-hyun)		17 ^t	President (Le	e Myung Bak	:)	18th President (Park-Geun-Hye)					19th President (Moon Jae-in)			
		Betwee	Closene	Eigenve		Betwee	Closene	Eigenve		Betwee	Closene	Eigenve		Betwee	Closene	Eigenve	
Rank	Word	nness	SS	ctor	Word	nness	SS	ctor	Word	nness	SS	ctor	Word	nness	SS	ctor	
Nauk	Wold	Centralit	Centralit	Centralit		Centralit	Centralit	Centralit		Centralit	Centralit	Centralit	Wold	Centralit	Centralit	Centralit	
		y	y	у		у	у	у		y	y	у		у	у	y	
1	Ours	141976	0.00003	0.00560	Ours	173800	0.00003	0.00606	Ours	762866	0.00004	0.00743	Ours	317743	0.48540	0.20865	
1	Ours	70.69	4	3	Outs	46.91	5	6	Outs	9.161	5	7	Outs	07.92	4	1	
2	Think	562771	0.00003	0.00415	Everyon	689903	0.00003	0.00398	Everyon	409430	0.00004	0.00535	Govern	162579	0.46602	0.17563	
2	THINK	2.845	2	3	e	1.29	2	8	e	9.503	3	7	ment	81.74	9	7	
2	Govern	526301	0.00003	0.00381	Wand	582263	0.00003	0.00207	Govern	321772	0.00004	0.00499		116796	0.45388	0.15025	
3	ment	6.83	2	7	Word	8.062	2	0.00396	ment	4.423	2	8	person	37.11		9	
4	Proble	513112	0.00003	0.00376	Govern	507123	0.00003	0.00362		239315	0.00004	0.00437	W. 11	802333	0.43885	0.12127	
4	m	4.899	1	9	ment	1.41	2	9	person	9.988	1	7	World	2.164	4	5	
,		544830	0.00003	0.00363	m: 1	479654	0.00003	0.00358	W 11	215387	0.00004	0.00414	D 1	608657	0.43672	0.11819	
5	Man	3.956	1	9	Think	3.873	1	4	World	5.461	1	4	People	8.191	1	8	
(Everyo	554814	0.00003	0.00358	D	450300	0.00003	0.00345		145952	0.00004	0.00406	Econo	465206	0.43200	0.11587	
6	ne	7.913	1	4	Person	8.986	1	3	Korea	4.644	0.00004	7	mic	2.638	3	3	

7	Person	371359	0.00003	0.00327	Korea	311578	0.00003	0.00328	Effort	140422	0.00004	0.00359	Effort	458368	0.42923	0.10467
		6521 338019	0.00003	0.00313		8.139 207491	I	4 0.00286	North	5.827 211835		0.00353		8.227 476856	0.42948	7 0.10385
8	Because	9.403	1	4	Country	3.589	0.00003	9	Korea	7.037	0.00004	5	Korea	3.293	0.42946	0.10363
	Presiden	337803	1	0.00297		303214		0.00280	Kotta	129920		0.00352	Everyon	668923	0.42954	0.10202
9	t	3.961	0.00003	8	Korea	2203	0.00003	2	Think	2.605	0.00004	1	e	1.94	7	6
	Econo	263024		0.00294		287568		0.00268	Deveolp	125035		0.00350	·	612605	0.42925	0.10134
10	mics	9.851	0.00003	6	Because	9.726	0.00003	8	ment	2346	0.00004	7	Today	3.327	1	1
	THE	7,001		Ů)./20		Ü	Here	2010		,	Co-	3.521		1
11	This	251839	0.00003	0.00289	Econo	192970	0.00003	0.00263	Korea	187882	0.00004	0.00347	operatio	356977	0.42460	0.10099
		7.45		3	mic	1.973		2		2.52		4	n	8.151	1	3
		260199		0.00275		187548		0.00239	Econo	109694		0.00305		417374	0.42364	0.09525
12	That	3211	0.00003	6	Country	9.428	0.00003	6	mic	5.664	0.00004	1	Korea	0.908	6	6
									Co-							
13	Policy	209014	0.00003	0.00271	Problem	228582	0.00003	0.00232	operatio	118481	0.00003	0.00302	Job	277117	0.41979	0.09052
		0.96		4		8.631		2	n	2.191	9	9		3.103	3	4
	Particip															
14	atory	187170	0.00003	0.00253	Presiden	259240	0.00002	0.00231	Eutoma	625170.	0.00003	0.00283	Situatio	380376	0.42188	0.09051
14	govern	2.102 0.00003	7	t	0.00003		9	Future	5575	9	7	n	0.938	6	9	
	ment															
15	Social	158632	0.00002	0.00249	Social	192457	0.00002	0.00219	Today	807875.	0.00003	0.00266	Support	523014	0.42407	0.08869
13) Social	2.414	9	0.0021)	Social	7.999	9	8	Today	5848	9	9	Support	6.535	3	9
16	Korea	168305	0.00003	0.00242	Effort	134087	0.00002	0.00218	Country	912222.	0.00003	0.00259	Country	339621	0.42147	0.08787
10	120144	9592	0,0000	5	Liloiv	1.762	9	0.00210		7777	9	3	country	5.981	8	5
		127171 (0.00002	0.00223	North	216254	0.00002		Both	946196.	0.00003	0.00256		297742	0.41644	0.08702
17	Story	3.537	9	7	Korea	2.171	9	0.00216	countrie	7138	9	8	Peace	5.268	6	9
									S							
18	People	126716	0.00002	0.00217	Develop	121806	0.00002	0.00214	Nation	723319.	0.00003	0.00250	Think	293339	0.41711	0.08254
		1296	9	8	ment	0.694	9	4		3806	8	9	n d	4.543	4	5
19	Branch	135423	0.00002 9	0.00216	Today	165348 2379	0.00002 9	0.00205 7	Region	128747	0.00003	0.00240	Preventi	275639 9.646	0.41604	0.08179 9
		7.756 136428	0.00002	0.00211		215477	0.00002	0.00199		4.565 677863.	9 0.00003	0.00236	on This	379650	1 0.41804	0.07938
20	One	1383	9	8	Person	1.18	9	7	People	2561	8	3	year	8.941	8	8
		1505	,	0		1.10	,	,	Korean	2,01	0	3	yca	0.711	0	0
21	World	202900	0.00002	0.00208	Job	134721	0.00002	0.00199	Peninsul	729936.	0.00003	0.00236	Develop	189861	0.41240	0.07863
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	6.729	9	5	****	9.415	9	1	a	2854	8	2	ment	8.148	2	8
									Creative							
22	Nation	118923	0.00002	0.00208	This	144422	0.00002	0.00198	econom	505446.	0.00003	0.00222	Korea	261775	0.41021	0.07607
		4.082	9	4		7.32	9	5	у	0628	8	8		5.892	7	4
		141097	0.00002	0.00207	opportu	116726	0.00002	0.00196	,	463379.	0.00003	0.00213	Compa	277285	0.41333	0.07532
23	Effort	1261	9	7	nity	339	9	3	Once	1871	8	9	ny	2.19	2	8
	D.				·				Internati				•			
24	Democr	134001	0.00002	0.00205	Compa	143975	0.00002	0.00193	onal	594397.	0.00003	0.00212	Future	161168	0.41116	0.07531
	acy	8.16	9	8	ny	6.597	9		society	8265	8	2		3.177	8	5
25	Level	156125	0.00002	0.00203	Em	117380	0.00002	0.00191	Differen	394606.	0.00003	0.00208	nation	230894	0.41227	0.07511
ω	Level	5.172	9	8	Era	9.903	9	7	ce	8039	8	6	nation	9.196	8	6

26	Market	122915 8.739	0.00002	0.00200 7	Position	115262 6.6	0.00002	0.0019	Faith	365852. 1723	0.00003	0.00208	Region	333766 0.922	0.41255 8	0.07325 8
27	Country	159650 4247	0.00002 9	0.00196	Future	100353 2.521	0.00002 9	0.00187 8	Peace	291221. 8396	0.00003 7	0.00206	Start	232484 4.481	0.41116 8	0.07111
	Importa	739283.	0.00002	0.00195		116540	0.00002	0.00178	Our	484306.	0.00003	0.00197		189416	0.40842	0.06962
28	nt	4543	9	1	Actually	9.625	9	9	nation	0393	8	4	Difficult	2.835	9	2
					Co-											
29	Develo	105497	0.00002	0.00193	operatio	829289.	0.00002	0.00173	Support	107570	0.00003	0.00197	Role	176008	0.40638	0.06862
	pment	8.068	9	8	n	0498	8	3	••	4.855	8	2		5.01	4	9
		123719	0.00002	0.00192	Our	116207	0.00002	0.00172		296381.	0.00003			212340	0.40878	0.06824
30	History	5.081	9	4	country	5.725	9	1	Hope	9229	7	0.00196	Safety	8.64	9	5
			ŕ	·	Internati		•			,,	,				ŕ	
		127796	0.00002	0.00190	onal	534884.	0.00002	0.00165	Celebrat	505411.	0.00003	0.00194		173402	0.40416	0.06816
31	Next	4.688	9	9	commu	6635	8	8	ion	1149	7	1	Policy	1.016	5	8
		1.000 / /	,	nity	0000	0	0	ЮП	114)	,	1		1.010	3	0	
		149803	0.00002	0.00186	Differen	859800.	0.00002	0.00164		473908.	0.00003	0.00191	Innovati	136460	0.40437	0.06746
32	Era							7	History	9063						
	C	3368	9	6	ce	3945	8				7	1	on	1.949	5	4
33	Compa	116312	0.00002	0.00184	This	850433.	0.00002	0.00164	Culture	557072.	0.00003	0.00189	Categor	262318	0.40586	0.06734
	ny	0.915	8	9		1419	9	1	N	2984	7	7	у	7.279	3	3
34	USA	122119	0.00002		Thank	942662.	0.00002	0.00162	Magnifi	997004.	0.00003	0.00187	Magnifi	394531	0.40876	0.06614
		7.735	8	9		2169	8	5	cation	0116	8		cation	2.63	6	6
35	Future	799554.	0.00002	0.00179	This	111302	0.00002	0.00160	This	620873.	0.00003	0.00185	Opportu	154333	0.40559	0.06591
		1054	8	8	year	8289	8	4	time	3023	7	9	nity	6.96	9	5
36	Politic	909530.	0.00002	0.00178	Once	104457	0.00002	0.00160	Era	347831.	0.00003	0.00185	Result	148587	0.40559	0.06567
		5032	8	3		5.29	8	2		0673	7	2		1.049	9	8
37	Investig	114298	0.00002	0.00175	Develop	706491.	0.00002	0.00154	During	360197.	0.00003	0.00183	Corona	128303	0.40284	0.06555
51	ation	6.091	8	1	ment	703	8	9	Dung	6877	7	1	Coloras	2.504	5	6
38	Strategy	732815.	0.00002	0.00174	Story	100882	0.00002	0.00154	Innovati	403997.	0.00003	0.00180	Because	266402	0.40738	0.06521
30	Strategy	4311	8	8	Sidy	6.99	8	8	on	3129	7	7	Decause	7.634	5	0.00521
39	What	677310.	0.00002	0.00167	Role	655131.	0.00002	0.00152	Safety	488209.	0.00003	0.00179	Best	142227	0.40592	0.06437
39	Wiku	5782	8	4	Noic	6361	8	7	Salcty	0511	7	9	DCX	3.094	3	1
40	T11	120191	0.00002	0.00166	LICA	958256.	0.00002	0.00151	Categor	599189.	0.00003	0.00177	11	131609	0.40354	0.06386
40	Ideal	0.815	8	5	USA	2553	8	9	у	3878	7	9	Hope	3.199	5	5
	N. 4	115554	0.00002			012220	0.00003	0.00140	Northea	41.5400	0.00002	0.00155	0	005400	0.40105	
41	North	117554	0.00002	0.00165	Mind	813338.	0.00002	0.00149	st	415400.	0.00003	0.00177	Overco	985423.	0.40105	0.06366
	Korea	5284	8			551	8	9	Asia	1372	7	1	me	8171	4	
		851717.	0.00002	0.00162		547365.	0.00002	0.00148		374510.	0.00003	0.00176		249253	0.40724	
42	Actually	1097	8	9	Difficult	8313	8	8	Police	2354	7	9	Ideal	1.302	9	0.06321
	Necessa	722965.	0.00002	0.00159		519683.	0.00002	0.00148		503669.	0.00003			220488	0.40503	0.06317
43	ry	8961	8	5	Every	5048	8	6	During	2589	7	0.00174	Problem	7.669	5	3
		5,01	•	·		20.0	V	v		207					·	-
44		776209.	0.00002	0.00159	Green	614065.	0.00002	0.00148	C1.1.1	380480.	0.00003	0.00173	respons	150938	0.40222	0.06309
44	Once	5292	8	1	growth	4117	8	_	Global	4148	7	7	e	2.741	9	3
								5								

45	Opinion	758216. 3091	0.00002 8	0.00157 7	Policy	689036. 9192	0.00002 8	0.00148	Growth	312089. 1991	0.00003 7	0.00172 9	Enhanc ement	226236 7.275	0.40332 9	0.06273 4
46	Situatio n	797474. 0613	0.00002	0.00154	Help	461120. 4907	0.00002	0.00147	Problem	597738. 6734	0.00003 7	0.00172	North Korea	221771 5.256	0.40369 4	0.06199
47	Today	944153. 6426	0.00002	0.00154	Opinion	919144. 0409	0.00002	0.00147	Role	442322. 2933	0.00003 7	0.00171	This time	176927 6.566	0.40274 9	0.06188
48	Press	830584. 2806	0.00002	0.00152 7	Норе	644086. 5822	0.00002 8	0.00146	Opportu nity	410209. 4729	0.00003 7	0.00170 7	Growth	128306 6259	0.39835	0.06178
49	Change	517612. 1197	0.00002 8	0.00152	Best	450106. 1951	0.00002 8	0.00138	Think	306949. 098	0.00003	0.00168	Central	211545 5.159	0.40287 5	0.06158
50	People	105284 2354	0.00002 8	0.00151 7	Police	637451. 0891	0.00002 8	0.00137 6	Society	487006. 8557	0.00003 7	0.00167 7	Promoti on	203102 5.72	0.40486 2	0.06131

5. Summary & Implication

As shown in the analysis results in the speeches related to disaster and safety, the first, a word with a high-ranked eigenvector was 'our'. Second, words in speeches change relying on the president's political philosophy. In the 16th President, un-selected words against other presidents were used such as democracy, participatory government, press and necessary. In particular, democracy and the participatory government is contained his value and ideology. Myung-bak Lee, the 17th President, utilized the words green growth and help and the 18th president used the northeast Asia, global, creative economy. Finally, the 19th president applied the words prevention, performance, overcoming, response, and reinforcement in his speeches.

Third, the words used during the speech contained the image of the era of disaster safety. In the 16th President, words related to national defense appeared such as North Korea. and the 17th President used the word about international climate change based on the ecological crisis. 18th President focused on northeast Asia security crisis and life safety. 19th President, former president, used the word about prevention, Coronavirus and overcome due to COVID-19 pandemic.

References

Ahmad, T., Li, X. J., Seet, B. C., & Cano, J. C. 2020. Social network analysis based localization technique with clustered closeness centrality for 3d wireless sensor networks. *Electronics*. 9(5): 738.

Arfan, M., Khan, Z., Qadri, N., Hameed, M. H., & Amir, A. R. 2019. Role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Combined Disaster Management. *Organization Theory Review.* 3(2): 97-121.

Baker D, Refsgaard K. 2007. Institutional development and scale matching in disaster response management. *Ecol Econ.* 63(2-3): 331-343.

Balkhair, A. A. 2020. COVID-19 pandemic: a new chapter in the history of infectious diseases. *Oman medical journal*. 35(2): e123.

Banks, T. 2014. The effects of leader speech and leader motivating language on employee self-esteem.

Doctoral dissertation, Regent University.

Barnes, P. 2002. Approaches to community safety: Risk perception and social meaning. *Australian Journal of Emergency Management*. 17(1): 15-23.

Beck, U. 1992. Risk society: Towards a new modernity. London, England: Sage Publications.

Beck, U. 2009. World risk society and manufactured uncertainties. *Iris.* 1(2): 291-299.

Beck, U. 2015. Emancipatory catastrophism: What does it mean to climate change and risk society?. *Current Sociology*. 63(1): 75-88. https://doi.org/10.1177/0011392114559951

Beck, U., & Levy, D. 2013. Cosmopolitanized nations: Re-imagining collectivity in world risk society. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 30(2): 3-31. https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276412457223

Bihari, A., & Pandia, M. K. 2015, February. Eigenvector centrality and its application in research professionals' relationship network. In 2015 Interna-

- tional Conference on Futuristic Trends on Computational Analysis and Knowledge Management. (ABLAZE) pp. 510-514. IEEE.
- Boin A, Stern E, Sundelius B. 2016. *The politics of crisis management: Public leadership under pressure*. Cambridge University Press; 2016 Nov 21.
- Boin, A., & Hart, P. T. 2003. Public leadership in times of crisis: Mission impossible? *Public Administration Review*. 63(5): 544-553. https://doi.org/10.1111/1540-6210.00318
- Boin, A., & Lodge, M. 2016. Designing resilient institutions for transboundary crisis management: A time for public administration. *Public Administration*, 94(2): 289-
 - 298. https://doi.org/10.1111/padm.12264
- Brooks, S. K., Rubin, G. J., & Greenberg, N. 2019. *Traumatic stress within disaster-exposed occupations: overview of the literature and suggestions for the management of traumatic stress in the work-place*. British medical bulletin.
- Casadevall, A. 2020. Climate change brings the specter of new infectious diseases. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*. 130(2): 553-555.
- Chen Lingjun, Zhao Chuang. 2016. The Chinese Dream in News Discourse: discourse analysis from the perspective of evaluation theory and attitude. *Journal of Tianjin Foreign Studies University*. 23 (4): 34-39, 81.
- Crosweller, M., & Tschakert, P. 2021. Disaster management leadership and policy making: a critical examination of communitarian and individualistic understandings of resilience and vulnerability. *Climate Policy*, 21(2): 203-221.
- Cupertino, M. C., Resende, M. B., Mayer, N. A., Carvalho, L. M., & Siqueira-Batista, R. 2020. Emerging and re-emerging human infectious diseases: A systematic review of the role of wild animals with a focus on public health impact. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine, 13(3): 99-106.
- Di Floristella, A. P. 2015. Dealing with natural disasters: Risk society and ASEAN: A new approach to disaster management. *The Pacific Review*. 29(2): 1-23. https://doi.org/10.1080/09512748.2015.1013
- Dibai, A., Rangel, J., Costa, D., Ottoboni, H., Quintero, S., & Favaro, R. 2020. The Safe Behavior School: Halliburton Strengthens Safety Leadership and Safety Culture in Latin America. In SPE International Conference and Exhibition on Health, Safety, Environment, and Sustainability. OnePetro.
- Dong Dan. 2019. Positive discourse analysis of Italian mainstream media's reports on the 19th National Congress from the perspective of evaluation theory. Foreign Language and Literature. 35(4): 17-23.
- Eggins, S. 2004. An Introduction to Systemic Functional Linguistics. 2nd. Manchester, Great Britain: Continuum
- Esposito, R. 2008. *Bios: Biopolitics and philoso-phy*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Everly, G. S., Wu, A. W., Cumpsty-Fowler, C. J., Dang, D., & Potash, J. B. 2020. Leadership principles to decrease psychological casualties in COVID-19

- and other disasters of uncertainty. Disaster medicine and public health preparedness. 1-3.
- Farazmand, A. 2017. Learning from the Katrina crisis: A global and international perspective with implications for future crisis management. *In Crisis and emergency management*. 461-476. Routledge.
- Firestone, R. M., & Everly Jr, G. S. 2013. A pilot investigation in constructing crisis communications: what leads to best practice? *International journal of emergency mental health*. 15(2): 159-164.
- Foucault, M. 1991. Discipline and punish: The birth of the prison. London, England: Penguin Books.
- Gephart, R. P., Van Maanen, J., & Oberlechner, T. 2009. Organizations and risk in late modernity. Organization Studies. 30(2–3): 141-155. https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840608101474
- Győrffy, D. 2018. Trust and crisis management in the European Union. Springer Books.
- Halliday, M.A.K., & Matthiessen Ch. 2004. *An Introduction to Functional Grammar*. London: Hodder Education, and Hachette UK Company.
- Hashikawa, M., & Gold, K. J. 2018. Disaster preparedness in primary care: ready or not?. *Disaster medicine and public health preparedness*. 12(5): 644-648
- Hofmann, A. 2022. The Ten Commandments of Risk Leadership: A Behavioral Guide on Strategic Risk Management. Springer Nature.
- Kas, M., Carley, K. M., & Carley, L. R. 2013, August. Incremental closeness centrality for dynamically changing social networks. In Proceedings of the 2013 IEEE/ACM International Conference on Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining. 1250-1258.
- Kasperson, R., & Kasperson, J. 1996. The social amplification and attenuation of risk. *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*. 545: 95-105.
- Koussouhon, L. A., & Dossoumou, A. M. 2015. Political and ideological commitments: A systemic functional linguistic and critical discourse analysis of President Buhari's inaugural speech. *Interna*tional Journal of Linguistics and Communication. 3(2): 24-34.
- Laporta, L., Afonso, J., & Mesquita, I. 2018. The need for weighting indirect connections between game variables: Social Network Analysis and eigenvector centrality applied to high-level men's volleyball. *International Journal of Performance* Analysis in Sport. 18(6): 1067-1077.
- Leach, M., Scoones, I., & Stirling, A. 2010. Governing epidemics in an age of complexity: Narratives, politics and pathways to sustainability. *Global Environmental Change*. 20(3): 369-377. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloen-ycha.2009.11.0
- Leader Maynard, J., & Benesch, S. 2016. Dangerous speech and dangerous ideology: An integrated model for monitoring and prevention. *Genocide Studies and Prevention*. 9(3): 69-95.
- Lupton, D. 2013. Risk. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Ma, L., & Christensen, T. 2019. Government trust, social trust, and citizens' risk concerns: Evidence from crisis management in China. *Public Performance & Management Review.* 42(2): 383-404.

- Mahmud, A., Mohammad, Z., & Abdullah, K. A. 2020. Leadership in disaster management: Theory versus reality. *Journal of Clinical and Health Science*. 5(1): 4-11.
- Martin, J. & P' white. 2005. *The Language of Evaluation:* appraisal in English. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Mayfield, J., & Mayfield, M. 2002. Leader communication strategies critical paths to improving employee commitment. *American Business Review*. 20(2): 89-94.
- Mayfield, J., & Mayfield, M. 2012. The relationship between leader motivating language and self-efficacy: A partial least squares model analysis. *The Journal of Business Communication*. 49(4): 357-376.
- McEntire D, Gregg D. The Intergovernmental Context. In: Waugh, William L. Jr., Tierney K, Editors. 2007. Emergency Management: Principles and Practice for Local Government. 2nd ed. Washington DC: ICMA. 57-70.
- Mishra, D., & Kanti, S. 2019. Innovative Financial Instruments & Risk Management Policies: Misjudgment of Misfortunes. (January 3, 2019).
- Mitzen, J. 2006. Ontological security in world politics: State identity and the security dilemma. *European Journal of International Relations*. 12(3): 341-370.
 - https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066106067346
- Morden, É., Oster, M., & O'Brien, C. P. (Eds.). 2013. Substance use disorders in the US Armed Forces. PubMed.
- Pearson, C. and Clair, J. 1998. Reframing crisis management. *The Academy of Management Review*. 23(1): 59-76.
- Persson, T., Parker, C. F., & Widmalm, S. 2017. Social trust, impartial administration and public confidence in EU crisis management institutions. *Public Administration*. 95(1): 97-114.
- Pravichai, S., & Ariyabuddhiphongs, V. 2018. Transformational leadership and turnover intention: the mediating effects of right speech (Samma Vaca) and trust in the leader. *Journal of Management, Spirituality & Religion.* 15(3): 253-272.
- Renn, O. 2004. Perception of risks. *Toxicology Letters*. 149(1): 405-413. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2003.12.051
- Renn, O., Klinke, A., & van Asselt, M. 2011. Coping with complexity, uncertainty and ambiguity in risk governance: A synthesis. *Ambio*. 40(2): 231-246. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-010-0134-0
- Rochat, Y. 2009. Closeness centrality extended to unconnected graphs: The harmonic centrality index. (No. CONF).

- Rosenstiel, L. 2019. Linking leadership and crisis management: the role of leadership in organisational crises in relation to communication technology and crisis type, and its effects on consumer's trust, attitude, emotions, and intention to boycott an organization. Master's thesis, University of Twente.
- Rosenthal, U. and Hart, P. 1991. Experts and decision makers in crisis situations. *Knowledge*. 12(4): 350-372.
- Rowley Mayfield, J., Mayfield, M. R., & Kopf, J. 1998. The effects of leader motivating language on subordinate performance and satisfaction. Human Resource Management: Published in Cooperation with the School of Business Administration. The University of Michigan and in alliance with the Society of Human Resources Management. 37(3-4): 235-248.
- Ruhnau, B. 2000. Eigenvector-centrality— a node-centrality?. *Social networks*. 22(4): 357-365.
- Sapienza, P., & Zingales, L. 2012. A trust crisis. *International Review of Finance*. 12(2): 123-131.
- Scott J. 1988. Social network analysis. *Sociology*. 22(1): 109-127.
- Shufutinsky, A., DePorres, D., Long, B., & Sibel, J. R. 2020. Shock Leadership Development for the Modern Era of Pandemic Management and Preparedness. *International Journal of Organiza*tional Innovation. 13(1): 20-35.
- Soltani, T., Baghianimoghadam, M. H., Ehrampoush, M. H., Baghian, N., & Jafari, A. 2016. Knowledge, attitude, and performance of nurses' crisis management in natural disasters in Yazd city. *Journal of Community Health Research*. 5(3): 195-201.
- Sørensen, M. P. 2018. Ulrich Beck: exploring and contesting risk. *Journal of Risk Research*. 21(1): 6-16.
- Wang Zhenhua, Ma Yulei. 2007. Appraisal theory: charm and confusion. Foreign Language Education, 6.
- Weisaeth, L., Knudsen Jr., O. and Tonnessen, A. 2002. Technological disasters, crisis management and leadership stress. *Journal of Hazardous Materials.* 93(1): 33-45.
- WHO/EHA. Panafrican Emergency Training Centre.
 Addis Ababa Updated March 2002 by EHA.
- Wright, E., & Horta, H. 2018. Higher education participation in "high-income" universal higher education systems: "survivalism" in the risk society". *Asian Education and Development Studies*. 7(2): 184-204
- Zhang, J., & Luo, Y. 2017, March. Degree centrality, betweenness centrality, and closeness centrality in social network. In 2017 2nd international conference on modelling, simulation and applied mathematics (MSAM2017). 300-303. Atlantis Press.

Profile

Jee Eun Kim(kimje@chungbuk.ac.kr)

She received her M.A from Chungbuk National University, Korea in 2018. She is a Ph. D. candidate in the Department of Public Administration at Chungbuk National University, in Korea.

Jae Eun Lee (jeunlee@chungbuk.ac.kr)

He received his B.A., M.A., Ph.D. from Yonsei University, Korea in 2000. He is a Professor of the Department of Public Administration at Chungbuk National University, in which he has taught since 2000. His interesting subject and area of research and education is crisis & emergency management, organizational studies, and policy implementation. He has published 203 articles in journals and written 16 books, including 10 co-author books.